An Extract of Mr. Isac Newton's Letter, written to the Publisher from Cambridge April 3. 1673. concerning the Number
of Colors, and the Necessity of mixing them all for the production of White; as also touching the Cause why a Picture cast
by Glasses into a darkned room appears so distinct notwithstanding its Irregular refraction: (Which Letter, being an Immediat answer to that from Paris, printed N°.96.p.6086. of these
Tracts, should also, if it had not been mis-laid, have immediately followed the same.)

The nature of Colors, whilst he proceeds upon compounding the nature of Colors, whilst he proceeds upon compounding those that are already compounded; as he doth in the former part of his Letter. Perhaps he would sooner satisfie himself by resolving Light into Colors, as far as may be done by Art, and then by examining the properties of those colors apart, and afterwards by trying the effects of re-conjoining two or more or all of those; and lastly, by separating them again to examine, what changes that re-conjunction had wroughe in them. This, I consels, will prove a tedious and difficult task to do it as it ought to be done; but I could not be satisfied, till I had gone through it. However, I only propound it, and leave every man to his own method.

As to the Contents of his Letter, I conceive, my former Anfwer to the Quære about the Number of Colors is sufficient, which was to this effect; That all Colors cannot practically be derived out of the Yellow and Blew, and consequently that those Hypotheses are groundless which imply they may. If you ask, What colors cannot be derived out of yellow and blew? I anfwer, none of all those which I defin'd to be Original; and if he can shew by experiment, how they may, I will acknowledge my self in an error. Nor is it easier to frame an Hypothesis by assuming only two Original colors rather than an indefinit varies y; unless it be easier to suppose, that there are but two figures, lizes and degrees of velocity or force of the Æthereal corpulcles or pulses, rather than indefinit variety; which certainly would be a harsh supposition. No man wonders at the indefinit variety of Waves of the Sea, or of lands on the shore; bur.

but, were they all but two fizes, it would be a very puzling And I should think it as unaccountable, if the phænomenon. several parts or corpuscles, of which a shining body consists, which must be suppos'd of various figures, sizes and motions, should impress but two sorts of motion on the adjacent Æthereal medium, or any other way beget but two forts of Rays. But to examine, how Colors may be explain'd hypothetically, is besides my purpose. I never intended to shew, wherein confifts the Nature and Difference of colors, but only to shew, that de facto they are Original and Immutable qualities of the Rays which exhibit them; and to leave it to others to explicate by Mechanical Hypotheles the Nature and Difference of those qualities: which I take to be no difficult matter. But I would not be understood, as if their Difference consisted in the Different Refrangibility of those rays; for that different Refrangibility conduces to their production no otherwise, than by separating the Rays whose qualities they are. Whence it is, that the same Rays exhibit the same Colors when separated by any other means; as by their different Reflexibility, a quality not yet discoursed of.

In the next particular, where N. would shew, that it is not necessary to mix all Colors for the production of White; the mixture of Yellow, Green and Blew, without Red and Violet, which he propounds for that end, will not produce White, but Green; and the brightest part of the Yellow will afford no other colour but Yellow, if the Experiment be made in a room well darkn'd, as it ought; because the Colour'd light is much weaken'd by the Reflexion, and so apt to be diluted by the mixing of any other scattering light. But yet there is an Experiment or two mention'd in my Letter in the Transactions Numb. 88, by which I have produced White out of two colors alone, and that variously; as out of Orange and a full Blem, and out of Red and pale Blem, and out of Yellow and Violet, as also out of other pairs of Intermediat colors. The most convenient Experiment for performing this, was that of casting the colors of one Profine upon those of another, after a due manner. But what N. can deduce from hence, I see not. For the two colors were dempounded of all others, and so the resulting White, (to speak properly,) was compounded of them all, Qqqqqq 2

and only de-compounded of those two. For instance, the Orange was compounded of Red, Orange, Yellow and some Green; and the Blew, of Violet, sull Blew, light Blew, and some Green, with all their Intermediat degrees; and consequently the Orange and Blew together made an Aggregate of all colors to constitute the White. Thus, if one mix red, orange and yellow Powders to make an Orange; and green, blew and violet colors to make a Blew; and lastly, the two mixtures, to make a Grey; that Grey, though de-compounded of no more than two Mixtures, is yet compounded of all the six Powders, as truly as if the powders had been all mixture once.

This is so plain, that I conceive there can be no further scruple; especially to them who know how to examine, whether a colour be simple or compounded, and of what colors it is compounded; which having explained in another place, I need not now repeat. If therefore, would conclude any thing, he must shew, how White may be produced out of two Vaccompounded colors; which when he hath done, I will further tell him, why he can conclude nothing from that. But I believe, there cannot be found an Experiment of that kind; because, as I remember, I once tryed, by gradual succession, the mixture of all pairs of Un-compounded colors; and, though some of them were paler, and nearer to White, than others, yet none

could be truly call'd White. But it being some years since this tryal was made, I remember not well the circumstances, and

therefore recommend it to others to be tryed again.

In the last place, had I thought, the Distinctness of the Pi-Aure, which (for instance) a Twelf foot Object glass calls into a darken'd room, to be so contrary to me as N. is pleased to affirm, I should have waved my Theory in that point before I For, that I had thought on that difficulty, propounded it. you may easily guess by an expression, some-\* See Numb, 80. where in my first Letter \*, to his purpose; That p.3073. I wond a d, how Telescopes could be brought to so great perfection by Refractions which were so Irregular. But, to take away the difficulty, I must acquaint you, first, That, though I put the greatest Lateral error of the rays from one another to be about 50 of the Glasses diameter; yet their greater error from the Points on which they ought to fall, will be but

## (6111)

but to of that diameter: And then, that the rays, whose error is so great, are but very few in comparison to those, which are refracted more Justly; for, the rays which fall upon the middle-parts of the Glass, are refracted with sufficient exactness, as also are those that fall near the perimeter and have a mean degree of Refrangibility; So that there remain only the rays, which fall near the perimeter and are most or least refrangible to cause any sensible confusion in the Picture. And these are yet so much further weaken'd by the greater space, through which they are scatter'd, that the Light which falls on the due point, is infinitely more dense than that which falls on any other point round about it. Which though it may feem a Paradox, yet is certainly demonstrable. Yea, although the Light, which paffes through the middle parts of the Glass, were wholly intercepted, yet would the remaining light convene infinitely more dense at the due points, than at other pla-And by this excess of Density, the Light, which falls in or invisibly near the just point, may, I conceive, strike the fenforium so vigorously, that the impress of the weak light, which errs round about it, shall, in comparison, not be strong enough to be animadverted, or to cause any more sensible confusion in the Picture than is found by Experience.

This, I conceive, is enough to shew, Why the Picture appears so distinct, not with standing the Irregular refraction. But, if this satisfie not, N. may try, if he please, how distinct the Picture will appear when all the Lens is cover'd excepting a little hole next its edge on one side only: And if in this case he please to measure the breadth of the colors thus made at the edge of the Suns picture, he will perhaps find it to approach nearer to my

proportion than he expects.

## (6112)

An Answer (to the former Letter, written to the Publisher June 10.1673, by the ame Parisian Philosophir that was lately said to have written the Letter already extant in No. 96.p.6086.

Ouching the Solutions, given by M Newton to the feruples by me propos'd about his Theory of Colors, there were matter to answer them, and to form new difficulties; but seeing that he maintains his opinion with so much concern, I list not to dispute. But what means it, I pray, that he soith; Though I should show him, that the White could be produced of only two On-compounded colors, yet I could conclude nothing from that. And yet he hath affirm'd in p. 3083, of the Transactions, that to compose the White, all primitive colors are necessary.

As to the manner, whereby he reconciles the effect of Convex glasses for so well assembling the rays, with what he establishes concerning the different refrangibility, I am satisfied with it; but then he is also to acknowledge, that this aberration of the rays is not so disadvantagious to Optic glasses as he seems to have been willing to make us believe, when he proposed Concave speculums as the only hopes of perfecting Telescopes. His invention certainly was very good; but, as far as I could perceive by experience, the defect of the Matter renders it as impossible to execute, as the difficulty of the Form obstructs the use of the Hyperbole of M. Des-Cartes: So that, in my opinion, we must stick to our Spheric Glasses, whom we are already so much obliged to, and that are yet capable of greater perfection, as well by increasing the length of Telescopes, as by correcting the nature of Glass it self. So far He.

To this Letter is to be referr'd that, which is already extant in

N.96.p.6087. as being an Answer thereto,